arXiv:1512.04458v2 [math.RT] 13 Feb 2016

FACE FUNCTORS FOR KLR ALGEBRAS

PETER J MCNAMARA AND PETER TINGLEY

ABSTRACT. Simple representations of KLR algebras can be used to realize the infinity crystal for the corresponding symmetrizable Kac-Moody algebra. It was recently shown that, in finite and affine types, certain sub-categories of "cuspidal" representations realize crystals for sub Kac-Moody algebras. Here we put that observation an a firmer categorical footing by exhibiting a functor between the category of representations of the KLR algebra for the sub Kac-Moody algebra and the category of cuspidal representations of the original KLR algebra.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction 1
1.1. Acknowledgements 2
2. Preliminaries 2
2.1. Root systems and convex orders 2
2.2. KLR algebras 4
2.3. Cuspidal modules 6
2.4. Crystal structures 7
3. The face cuspidal category 7
4. The endomorphism algebra 8
4.1. Generators 9
4.2. Relations 10
5. Face Functors 12
6. Properties of affine face functors 13
6.1. Face crystal operators 13
6.2. An affine type example 15
7. Categories of Imaginary Cuspidal Representations 16
7.1. The Cartan matrix for $S(\delta)$ 16
7.2. The Zigzag Algebra 18
References 19

1. INTRODUCTION

Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier (KLR) algebras have been a subject of intense study in the last few years, due to the fact that their categories of graded representations categorify various objects in quantum groups. Most importantly here, the gradable simple modules for a KLR algebra can be used to realize the crystal $B(-\infty)$ of the positive part of the corresponding universal enveloping algebra.

Date: February 16, 2016.
2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 17B37.

In [TW], the correspondence between simple modules for KLR algebras and crystals is used to define Mirković-Vilonen polytopes in all affine types. There the polytopes arise as the character polytopes of representations of KLR algebras (along with some decoration in affine types). One of the key observations is that, for every face of the MV polytope, there was a sub-category (the cuspidal representations for that face) whose simple representations realized a crystal for a lower rank enveloping algebra. There the correspondence is just combinatorial, but it is natural to ask for a corresponding functor from representations of the smaller rank KLR algebra to cuspidal representations for the face of the original KLR algebra.

Here we construct such a functor in the cases where either

- The original root system is of finite type, or
- The original root system is of symmetric affine type, and the KLR algebra is defined over a field of characteristic 0.

We do this by considering a certain projective object P in the category of cuspidal representations which has the property that $\mathrm{End}(P)$ is isomorphic to a new KLR algebra. Our face functor is then $X \to P \otimes_{\mathrm{End}(P)} X$.

If the face is of finite type, P is in fact a projective generator, and our functor is an equivalence of categories. If the face is of affine type, this is not true, as we discuss in the example in §6.2. Nonetheless, our functor does intertwine the crystal operators for the KLR algebra associated to the face and the category of cuspidal modules corresponding to that face (see Corollary 6.7).

In the final section, §7, we analyze some implications of our construction for the category of imaginary cuspidal representations of $R(\delta)$ in the affine case. Essentially, our functors allow us to understand all such categories in terms of the $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_3$ case. This allows us to show that the category of cuspidal modules of $R(\delta)$ is equivalent to the category of representations of $k[z] \otimes Z$ where Z is the finite type zig-zag algebra defined in [HK]. This last result in the special case of a balanced convex order appears in [KM], where they also consider the cuspidal representations of $R(n\delta)$ for all n. Our proof is quite different, and we believe the category of cuspidal $R(n\delta)$-modules can also be understood through our methods.

Recent work of Kashiwara and Park [KP] is closely related to our construction. Our face functors are known to be examples of the Kashiwara-Park functors in finite type. In affine type, this is conjectured, but as yet unproven. Kashiwara and Park do not show that the functors are equivalences of categories for finite type faces (which is not true in the generality they consider), and do not see the connection with the crystal structure in [TW].

1.1. **Acknowledgements.** The ideas for this paper arose from conversations between the authors during the workshop "Algebraic Lie theory and representation theory" at ICMS in Scotland, September 2014. We thank the organizers of that event for a great meeting. The second author was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-1265555.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. **Root systems and convex orders.** Fix a root system Φ, which we assume is either of finite type or symmetric affine type. Let $(a_{ij})_{i,j\in I}$ be its Cartan matrix, and d_i the usual symmetrizing factors. Let Δ denote the set of simple roots of Φ.

For $i, j \in I$, write $i \cdot j$ for $a_{ij}d_j$. Then $i \cdot j = j \cdot i$. Extend this by linearity to a $\mathbb{Z}$-valued bilinear pairing on $\mathbb{N}I$.

Definition 2.1. *A face is a decomposition of Φ^+ into three disjoint subsets*

$$\Phi^+ = F^+ \sqcup F \sqcup F^-$$

such that, for all $x \in \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}} F$:

(1) If $y \in \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}} F^+$ is non-zero, then $x + y \notin \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}} F^- \cup F$.
(2) If $y \in \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}} F^-$ is non-zero, then $x + y \notin \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}} F^+ \cup F$.

We often denote a face simple by F, with F^+, F^- being suppressed.

Remark 2.2. The terminology "face" comes from the fact that, in finite type, there is a bijection between faces in the sense of Definition 2.1 and faces of the Weyl polytope (i.e. the convex hull of the Weyl group orbit of ρ). A similar relationship holds in all types, as discussed in [TW].

Definition 2.3. [TW, Definition 1.8] *A* **convex preorder** *is a pre-order $\succ$ on Φ^+ such that, for every equivalence class C, $F^- = \{\alpha : \alpha \prec C\}, F = C, F^+ = \{\alpha : \alpha \succ C\}$ is a face. A* **convex order** *is a convex pre-order which is a total order on real roots.*

Remark 2.4. There is also a notion of convex order based on the condition that, if $\alpha + \beta = \gamma$ and all three are roots, γ should be between α and β. It is obvious from definitions that any order which is convex in our sense is also convex in that sense. In finite type, the two notions were shown to be equivalent in [TW, Paragraph before Proposition 1.16]. In affine type, the equivalence is shown in [M, Theorem 3.2].

Definition 2.5. *A convex order $\prec$ is said to be compatible with a face F if F is an interval for $\prec$ and $F^- \prec F$.*

Lemma 2.6. [TW, Lemma 1.10] *For any face F there is a sequence $\{H_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of coori-ented hyperplanes in $\mathbb{R}\Phi^+$ such that*

- *$F \subset H_n$ for all n,*
- *for all $\alpha \in F^+$, α lies on the positive side of H_n for $n >> 0$, and*
- *for all $\alpha \in F^-$, α lies on the negative side of H_n for $n >> 0$.*

Lemma 2.6 implies that, for any finite $\Gamma \subset \Phi^+$, there is a linear functional $c : \mathbb{R}\Phi^+ \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$(F^+ \cap \Gamma, F \cap \Gamma, F^- \cap \Gamma) = (c^{-1}(\mathbb{R}_{>0}) \cap \Gamma, c^{-1}(0) \cap \Gamma, c^{-1}(\mathbb{R}_{<0}) \cap \Gamma).$$

Since we assume Φ is at worst affine, as discussed in [TW, §3.2], the real roots in F generate a product of finite and affine root systems (although imaginary root spaces may decompose). We call the corresponding Cartan datum I_F, and let Φ_F and Δ_F be the sets of roots and simple roots of I_F. Explicitly, Δ_F is the set of real roots in F which cannot be written as sums of other roots in F.

Remark 2.7. The parenthetical remark above is because, if I_F has two or more affine components, Φ_F contains non-parallel imaginary roots, several of which come from the same imaginary root space of Φ. See [TW, Remark 3.16] for an example.

2.2. **KLR algebras.** Here we review the Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebras introduced in [KL, R]. For any $\nu \in \mathbb{N}I$, define

$$\mathrm{Seq}(\nu) = \{\mathbf{i} = (\mathbf{i}_1, \dots, \mathbf{i}_{|\nu|}) \in I^{|\nu|} \mid \sum_{j=1}^{|\nu|} \mathbf{i}_j = \nu\}.$$

This is acted upon by the symmetric group $S_{|\nu|}$ in which the adjacent transposition $(i, i+1)$ is denoted s_i.

Fix a field k which we assume to be of characteristic zero if Φ is of affine type. For each $i, j \in I$, choose polynomials $Q_{ij}(u, v) \in k[u, v]$ such that

(Q1) $Q_{ii}(u, v) = 0$.
(Q2) If u has degree d_i and v has degree d_j then Q_{ij} is homogeneous of degree $-d_i a_{ij} = -d_j a_{ji}$ and the coefficients t_{ij} and t_{ji} of $u^{-a_{ij}}$ and $v^{-a_{ji}}$ are both nonzero.
(Q3) $Q_{ij}(u, v) = Q_{ji}(v, u)$.

Definition 2.8. *The KLR algebra $R(\nu)$ is the associative k-algebra generated by elements $e_{\mathbf{i}}$, y_j, ψ_k with $\mathbf{i} \in \mathrm{Seq}(\nu)$, $1 \le j \le |\nu|$ and $1 \le k < |\nu|$, subject to the relations*

$$\begin{aligned}
&e_{\mathbf{i}} e_{\mathbf{j}} = \delta_{\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}} e_{\mathbf{i}}, \quad \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathrm{Seq}(\nu)} e_{\mathbf{i}} = 1,\\
&y_k y_l = y_l y_k, \quad y_k e_{\mathbf{i}} = e_{\mathbf{i}} y_k,\\
&\psi_l e_{\mathbf{i}} = e_{s_l \mathbf{i}} \psi_l, \quad \psi_k \psi_l = \psi_l \psi_k \ \text{ if } |k-l| > 1,\\
&\psi_k^2 e_{\mathbf{i}} = Q_{\mathbf{i}_k, \mathbf{i}_{k+1}}(y_k, y_{k+1}) e_{\mathbf{i}},\\
&(\psi_k y_l - y_{s_k(l)} \psi_k) e_{\mathbf{i}} = \begin{cases} -e_{\mathbf{i}} & \text{if } l = k, \mathbf{i}_k = \mathbf{i}_{k+1},\\ e_{\mathbf{i}} & \text{if } l = k+1, \mathbf{i}_k = \mathbf{i}_{k+1},\\ 0 & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases}\\
&(\psi_{k+1}\psi_k\psi_{k+1} - \psi_k\psi_{k+1}\psi_k) e_{\mathbf{i}}\\
&\quad = \begin{cases} \dfrac{Q_{\mathbf{i}_k, \mathbf{i}_{k+1}}(y_k, y_{k+1}) - Q_{\mathbf{i}_k, \mathbf{i}_{k+1}}(y_{k+2}, y_{k+1})}{y_k - y_{k+2}} e_{\mathbf{i}} & \text{if } \mathbf{i}_k = \mathbf{i}_{k+2},\\ 0 & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}
\end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

Define $R = \bigoplus_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}I} R(\nu)$.

This is a graded algebra where each $e_{\mathbf{i}}$ has degree zero, $y_j e_{\mathbf{i}}$ has degree $\mathbf{i}_j \cdot \mathbf{i}_j$ and $\psi_k e_{\mathbf{i}}$ has degree $\mathbf{i}_k \cdot \mathbf{i}_{k+1}$. Throughout this paper all modules are assumed to be graded.

Remark 2.9. There is also a diagrammatic approach to these generators and relations, see [KL, KL2, TW].

Different choices of the polynomials Q_{ij} can produce isomorphic algebras, as discussed in [KL2]. If the choices are made so that the algebra is isomorphic to the one in [M], then we say it is of geometric type. This terminology is due to a geometric interpretation of the KLR algebras with this choice of parameters. Khovanov and Lauda [KL2] give the following criterion for when a type $A_2^{(1)}$ KLR algebra is of geometric type.

Lemma 2.10. *Consider a type $A_2^{(1)}$ KLR algebra where the index set is $I = \mathbb{Z}/3$ and $Q_{i,i+1} = s_i u + t_i v$. This is of geometric type if and only if $s_0 s_1 s_2 + t_0 t_1 t_2 = 0$.* □

A similar computation gives:

Lemma 2.11. *A type $A_1^{(1)}$ KLR algebra is of geometric type if and only if the quadratic polynomial $Q(u, v)$ has discriminant zero.* □

For any graded R-module M, define its character to be the formal sum

$$\mathrm{ch}(M) = \sum_{\mathbf{i}} \dim_q(e_{\mathbf{i}} M)[\mathbf{i}].$$

We only work with modules where these dimensions are finite.

Fix $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{N}I$. There is a natural inclusion $\iota_{\lambda,\mu} : R(\lambda) \otimes R(\mu) \to R(\lambda+\mu)$ defined by $\iota_{\lambda,\mu}(e_{\mathbf{i}} \otimes e_{\mathbf{j}}) = e_{\mathbf{ij}}$, $\iota_{\lambda,\mu}(y_i \otimes 1) = y_i$, $\iota_{\lambda,\mu}(1 \otimes y_i) = y_{i+|\lambda|}$, $\iota_{\lambda,\mu}(\psi_i \otimes 1) = \psi_i$, $\iota_{\lambda,\mu}(1 \otimes \psi_i) = \psi_{i+|\lambda|}$.

Definition 2.12. *The induction functor $\mathrm{Ind}_{\lambda,\mu} : R(\lambda) \otimes R(\mu)$-mod $\to R(\lambda+\mu)$-mod is given by*

$$\mathrm{Ind}_{\lambda,\mu}(M) = R(\lambda+\mu)\iota_{\lambda,\mu}(1 \otimes 1) \bigotimes_{R(\lambda)\otimes R(\mu)} M.$$

For an $R(\lambda)$-module A and an $R(\mu)$-module B, we write $A \circ B$ for $\mathrm{Ind}_{\lambda,\mu}(A \otimes B)$.

The restriction functor $\mathrm{Res}_{\lambda,\mu} : R(\lambda+\mu)$-mod $\to R(\lambda) \otimes R(\mu)$-mod is given by

$$\mathrm{Res}_{\lambda,\mu}(M) = \iota_{\lambda,\mu}(1_{R(\lambda)\otimes R(\mu)})M.$$

By iterating Definition 2.12, functors such as

$$\mathrm{Res}_{\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_l} : R(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_l)\text{-mod} \to R(\lambda_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes R(\lambda_l)\text{-mod}$$

$$\mathrm{Ind}_{\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_k} : R(\lambda_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes R(\lambda_l)\text{-mod} \to R(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_l)\text{-mod}$$

can be unambiguously defined.

The induction functor is left adjoint to the restriction functor. Since $\mathrm{Ind}_{\lambda,\mu}$ sends projective modules to projective modules, there is a natural isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Ext}^i(M_1 \circ \cdots \circ M_n, N) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^i(M_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes M_n, \mathrm{Res}\, N). \tag{2.2}$$

The restriction functor also has a right adjoint, given by the usual coinduction construction. We will need [LV, Theorem 2.2], which says

$$\mathrm{CoInd}(A \otimes B) \cong q^{-\lambda\cdot\mu} B \circ A. \tag{2.3}$$

In [LV] this is stated for finite dimensional modules, but the same proof shows it is true in complete generality. Thus, if A is a $R(\lambda+\mu)$-module, B is a $R(\lambda)$-module and C is a $R(\mu)$-module, then there is a natural isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Res}\, A, B \otimes C) \cong \mathrm{Hom}(A, q^{-\lambda\cdot\mu} C \circ B). \tag{2.4}$$

The following is often called the Mackey filtration. Let $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k, \mu_1 \ldots, \mu_l \in \mathbb{N}I$ be such that $\sum_i \lambda_i = \sum_j \mu_j$, and let M be a $R(\lambda_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes R(\lambda_k)$-module. Then

Theorem 2.13. [KL, Proposition 2.18] *The composition*

$$\mathrm{Res}_{\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_l} \circ \mathrm{Ind}_{\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_k}(M)$$

has a filtration indexed by tuples ν_{ij} satisfying $\lambda_i = \sum_j \nu_{ij}$ and $\mu_j = \sum_i \nu_{ij}$. Every subquotient of this filtration is isomorphic, up to a grading shift, to $\mathrm{Ind}_\nu^\mu \circ \tau \circ \mathrm{Res}_\nu^\lambda(M)$, where

- $\mathrm{Res}^{\lambda}_{\nu} : \otimes_i R(\lambda_i)$-*mod* $\longrightarrow \otimes_i (\otimes_j R(\nu_{ij}))$-*mod is the tensor product of the* $\mathrm{Res}_{\nu_{i\bullet}}$,
- $\tau : \otimes_i (\otimes_j R(\nu_{ij}))$-*mod* $\longrightarrow \otimes_j (\otimes_i R(\nu_{ij}))$-*mod is given by permuting the tensor factors, and*
- $\mathrm{Ind}^{\mu}_{\nu} : \otimes_j (\otimes_i R(\nu_{ij}))$-*mod* $\longrightarrow \otimes_j R(\mu_j)$-*mod is the tensor product of the* $\mathrm{Ind}_{\nu_{\bullet i}}$.

There is an anti-automorphism ψ of R which fixes each of the generators $e_{\mathbf{i}}$, y_j and ψ_k. Thus, given a finite dimensional R-module M, we can define the structure of an R-module on its dual $M^{\circledast} = \mathrm{Hom}_k(M, k)$ by $(r \cdot \phi)(m) = \phi(\psi(r) \cdot m)$. Each finite dimensional simple module is isomorphic to its dual up to a grading shift [KL, §3.2].

Definition 2.14. *A pseudo-KLR algebra is a KLR algebra in the sense of Definition 2.8 with the requirement that $t_{ij} \neq 0$ in Condition (Q2) removed.*

The following demonstrates that pseudo-KLR algebras which are not in fact KLR algebras have noticeably different categories of representations. Recall that, due to the categorification results from [KL], for an actual KLR algebra $R((1 - a_{ij})\alpha_i + \alpha_j)$, the number of irreducible modules up to grading shift is exactly the dimension of that weight space of U^+, so $1 - a_{ij}$.

Theorem 2.15. *In a pseudo-KLR algebra with the coefficient $t_{ij} = 0$, the algebra $R((1 - a_{ij})\alpha_i + \alpha_j)$ has at least $2 - a_{ij}$ irreducible modules.*

Proof. For each pair of natural numbers a and b with $a + b = 1 - a_{ij}$, consider the irreducible $R(ai) \otimes R(j) \otimes R(bi)$-module $L(i^a) \otimes L(j) \otimes L(i^b)$. Extend this to a module for $R((a + b)i + j)$ by requiring that all generators which are not in $R(ai) \otimes R(j) \otimes R(bi)$ act by zero. Since $t_{ij} = 0$, it is easily checked that this does define a $R((a + b)i + j)$-module structure. Each of these representations is irreducible since their restriction to $R(ai) \otimes R(j) \otimes R(bi)$ is irreducible. Thus we have found $2 - a_{ij}$ distinct irreducible modules, as required. □

2.3. **Cuspidal modules.** For the remainder of this section, fix a face F and a compatible convex order $\prec$.

An R-module M is called F-cuspidal if, for all $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{N}I$ such that $\mathrm{Res}_{\lambda,\mu} M \neq 0$,

$$\lambda \in \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}}(F^- \cup F) \quad \text{and} \quad \mu \in \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}}(F^+ \cup F).$$

In particular, this forces $\mathrm{wt}(M) \in \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{R}\geq 0}(F)$.

Remark 2.16. These representations are actually called semi-cuspidal in [TW], where there is a stronger notion of cuspidal. Here we don't need that stronger notion.

Definition 2.17. *Let $\mathcal{C}_F$ denote the full subcategory of cuspidal R-modules.*

For any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, Lemma 2.6 gives a linear functional c such that, for roots β of height at most m, β is in $F^+/F/F^-$ if and only of $c(\beta)$ is positive/zero/negative. If particular:

Lemma 2.18. *For any M and c chosen as above for $m = |\mathrm{wt}(M)|$, $M \in \mathcal{C}_F$ if and only if, whenever $\mathrm{Res}_{\lambda,\mu} M \neq 0$, we have $c(\lambda) \leq 0$.* □

Recall for Definition 2.3 that, for any convex pre-order $\succ$, each equivalence class is a face; we call a module $\succ$ cuspidal if it is cuspidal for some equivalence class.

Theorem 2.19. [TW, Theorem 2.20] *If $L_1, \dots, L_h \in KLR$ are simple, $\succ$-cuspidal, and satisfy $wt(L_1) \succ \cdots \succ wt(L_h)$, then $L_1 \circ \cdots \circ L_h$ has a unique simple quotient. Furthermore, every simple appears this way up to a grading shift for a unique sequence of simple cuspidal representations.*

Corollary 2.20. [TW, Corollary 2.18] *Fix a convex order $\succ$ and a real root α. There is a unique self-dual simple cuspidal $R(\alpha)$-module $L(\alpha)$.*

Definition 2.21. *Let $\Delta(\alpha)$ be the projective cover of $L(\alpha)$ in $\mathcal{C}_F$.*

Lemma 2.22. *For any $\alpha \in \Delta_F$ the modules $L(\alpha)$ and $\Delta(\alpha)$ depend only on the face, not on the choice of compatible convex order.*

Proof. Because α is a simple root for Φ_F, every way of writing $\alpha = \lambda + \mu$ with $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{N}I$ both nonzero, $\lambda \in \mathrm{span}(F \cup F^+)$, and $\mu \in \mathrm{span}(F^- \cup F)$, actually has $\lambda \in \mathrm{span}(F^+)$ and $\mu \in \mathrm{span}(F^-)$. Thus, for all convex orders $\prec$ compatible with the face, the condition for a $R(\alpha)$-module to be $\prec$-cuspidal can be expressed purely in terms of the face (F^+, F, F^-), proving the lemma. □

2.4. **Crystal structures.**

Definition 2.23. *Let $\mathcal{B}$ be the set of simple self-dual elements of R-mod. For each face F let $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{C}_F}$ be the set of simple elements of the cuspidal category $\mathcal{C}_F$.*

Theorem 2.24. [LV] *$\mathcal{B}$ is isomorphic to a copy of $B(\infty)$ for the Cartan data I, where the crystal operators are given by $f_i(L) = q_i^{\epsilon_i(L)} \mathrm{hd}(L \circ L(i))$.*

Theorem 2.25. [TW] *If F is finite type, $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{C}_F}$ is isomorphic to a copy of $B(\infty)$ for the Cartan data I_F, where the crystal operators are given by $\mathsf{f}_{\beta_i}(L) = \mathrm{hd}(L \circ L(\beta_i))$.*

If Φ_F has one or more affine components, then $\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{C}_F}$ is isomorphic to a union of infinitely many copies of $B(\infty)$ for the cartan data I_F, where the crystal operators are given by $\mathsf{f}_{\beta_i}(L) = \mathrm{hd}(L \circ L(\beta_i))$.

3. The face cuspidal category

Fix a Cartan datum of either finite or symmetric affine type. Let R be an associated KLR algebra. If the Cartan datum is of affine type, assume that the characteristic of k is zero. Fix a face F and a compatible convex order $\prec$. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be the subcategory of R-mod consisting of F-cuspidal representations (which is $\mathcal{C}_F$ from §2.3).

Lemma 3.1. *The category $\mathcal{C}$ is abelian and is closed under $\circ$. That is, if $M, N \in \mathcal{C}$, then $M \circ N \in \mathcal{C}$.*

Proof. It is clear that $\mathcal{C}$ is abelian. Fix M, N and choose c as in §2.3 for $\mathrm{wt}(M) + \mathrm{wt}(N)$. By the definition of $\circ$, if $e_{\mathbf{i}}(M \circ N) \neq 0$, then $\mathbf{i}$ is a shuffle of some $\mathbf{i}_1$ and $\mathbf{i}_2$ such that $e_{\mathbf{i}_1} M \neq 0$ and $e_{\mathbf{i}_2} N \neq 0$. Thus, if $\mathbf{i}'$ is a prefix of $\mathbf{i}$, then $\mathbf{i}'$ is a shuffle of a prefix $\mathbf{i}'_1$ of $\mathbf{i}_1$ and $\mathbf{i}'_2$ of $\mathbf{i}_2$. But then $c(\mathbf{i}'_1), c(\mathbf{i}'_2) \leq 0$, so $c(\mathbf{i}') \leq 0$. This holds for all $\mathbf{i}$ such that $e_{\mathbf{i}}(M \circ N) \neq 0$ and all prefixes, so $M \circ N \in \mathcal{C}$ by definition. □

Lemma 3.2. *Fix $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n \in \Delta_F$ and $M \in \mathcal{C}$. Every simple subquotient of $\mathrm{Res}_{\beta_1,\dots,\beta_n} M$ is of the form $L(\beta_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes L(\beta_n)$ up to a grading shift.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.6, we can choose a linear functional c such that $F \subset c^{-1}(0)$ and, for any root γ of height at most $|\mathrm{wt}(M)|$, $\gamma \in F^-$ if and only if $c(\beta) < 0$, and $\beta \in F^+$ if and only if $c(\beta) > 0$.

Let $M_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes M_n$, be a simple subquotient of $\mathrm{Res}_{\beta_1,\ldots,\beta_n} M$. Assume M_j is not isomorphic to $L(\beta_j)$ for some j, and take j minimal for this to occur. Let $M_j = \mathrm{hd}(N_1 \circ \ldots \circ N_k)$ be the c-cuspidal decomposition of M_j, and let $\lambda = \beta_1 + \cdots + \beta_{k-1} + \mathrm{wt}(N_1)$. $\mathrm{wt}(N_1)$ cannot be a multiple of a root in F, since β_j is simple for Φ_F, so $c(N_1) > c(M_j) = 0$. But then

$$e_{\lambda,\mathrm{wt}(L)-\lambda} M \neq 0$$

and

$$c(\lambda) = 0 + \cdots + 0 + c(\mathrm{wt}(N_1)) > 0,$$

contradicting the assumption that M was cuspidal. □

Theorem 3.3. *Let $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_n \in \Delta_F$. Then $\Delta(\beta_1) \circ \cdots \circ \Delta(\beta_n)$ is projective in $\mathcal{C}_F$.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.1, $\Delta(\beta_1) \circ \cdots \circ \Delta(\beta_n) \in \mathcal{C}$. To show projectivity, it is equivalent to show that

$$\mathrm{Ext}^1(\Delta(\beta_1) \circ \cdots \circ \Delta(\beta_n), M) = 0$$

for all $M \in \mathcal{C}$. By the adjunction (2.2), this is equivalent to showing

$$\mathrm{Ext}^1(\Delta(\beta_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \Delta(\beta_n), \mathrm{Res}_{\beta_1,\ldots,\beta_n} M) = 0.$$

By Lemma 3.2, it suffices to prove

$$\mathrm{Ext}^1(\Delta(\beta_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \Delta(\beta_n), L(\beta_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes L(\beta_n)) = 0.$$

Since $\mathrm{Ext}^1(\Delta(\beta), L(\beta)) = 0$, this is true. □

Theorem 3.4. *If Φ_F is of finite type, then the direct sum of all modules of the form $\Delta(\beta_1) \circ \cdots \circ \Delta(\beta_n)$ for $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_n \in \Delta_F$, together with their grading shifts, is a projective generator of $\mathcal{C}$.*

Proof. By Theorem 3.3 we know $\Delta(\beta_1) \circ \cdots \circ \Delta(\beta_n)$ is projective. It suffices to show that every simple module L in $\mathcal{C}$ is a quotient of a module of the form $\Delta(\beta_1) \circ \cdots \circ \Delta(\beta_n)$. By [TW, Corollary 3.29], every simple in $\mathcal{C}$ is of the form

$$\mathrm{hd}(L(\beta_i) \circ X)$$

for another simple module X in $\mathcal{C}$, so by induction is a quotient of a module of the form $\Delta(\beta_1) \circ \cdots \circ \Delta(\beta_n)$. □

Remark 3.5. A counterexample to Theorem 3.4 in affine type is given in §6.2.

4. The endomorphism algebra

Fix a root system and a face F with the same assumptions as in §3. Let P be the direct sum of all modules of the form $\Delta(\beta_1) \circ \cdots \circ \Delta(\beta_n)$ with $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_n \in \Delta_F$. The indexing set for these direct summands is $\mathrm{Seq}_F(\nu)$ which is defined exactly like $\mathrm{Seq}(\nu)$ except it is with respect to the face F. For each $\mathbf{i} \in \mathrm{Seq}_F(\nu)$, we write $\Delta(\mathbf{i})$ for the corresponding summand of P. Write $P = \oplus_\nu P_\nu$ where P_ν is a $R(\nu)$-module. In this section we study $\mathrm{End}(P)$, and in particular show it is isomorphic to a KLR algebra for the root system defined by the face.

4.1. **Generators.** Given a sequence $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_n)$ of elements in Δ_F, let

$$e_{\mathbf{i}} \in \mathrm{End}(P)$$

be the projection onto the summand $\Delta(i_1) \circ \cdots \circ \Delta(i_n)$.

For natural numbers m and n, let $w[m,n]$ be the element of the symmetric group S_{m+n} defined by

$$w[m,n](i) = \begin{cases} i+n & \text{if } i \leq m \\ i-m & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

there is a unique reduced expression $s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_l}$ for $w[m,n](i)$ up to trivial braid moves (since it has a unique descent). Thus we can unambiguously define an element $\psi_{w[m,n](i)} = \psi_{i_1} \cdots \psi_{i_l} \in R$.

For each real root α, let v_α be a nonzero vector in $\Delta(\alpha)$ of minimal degree.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\alpha, \beta \in \Delta_F$. There is a unique homomorphism*

$$\tau : q^{-\alpha\cdot\beta}\Delta(\alpha) \circ \Delta(\beta) \longrightarrow \Delta(\beta) \circ \Delta(\alpha)$$

such that $\tau(1 \otimes (v_\alpha \otimes v_\beta)) = \psi_{w[|\alpha|,|\beta|]} \otimes (v_\beta \otimes v_\alpha)$.

Proof. If $\alpha = \beta$, this is [BKM, Lemma 3.6]. Now assume that $\alpha \neq \beta$. By adjunction,

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\Delta(\alpha) \circ \Delta(\beta), \Delta(\beta) \circ \Delta(\alpha)) \cong \mathrm{Hom}(\Delta(\alpha) \otimes \Delta(\beta), \mathrm{Res}_{\alpha,\beta}\, \Delta(\beta) \circ \Delta(\alpha)).$$

The Mackey filtration of $\mathrm{Res}_{\alpha,\beta}\, \Delta(\beta) \circ \Delta(\alpha)$ has a unique nonzero layer, resulting in an isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Res}_{\alpha,\beta}\, \Delta(\beta) \circ \Delta(\alpha) \cong q^{-\alpha\cdot\beta}\Delta(\alpha) \otimes \Delta(\beta).$$

Tracing the identity map on $\Delta(\alpha) \otimes \Delta(\beta)$ through these isomorphisms yields the desired map τ. □

Define

$$\tau_k(\mathbf{i}) \in \mathrm{End}(P).$$

to act by id $\circ \cdots \circ$ id $\circ\, \tau \circ$ id $\circ \cdots \circ$ id on $\Delta(i_1) \circ \cdots \circ \Delta(i_n)$, where the τ is the homomorphism from Lemma 4.1 acting in the k-th and $(1+k)$-th place.

The following is [BKM, Theorem 3.3(4)] in finite type and[M, Theorem 18.3] in symmetric affine type, together with [BKM, Lemma 3.9].

Theorem 4.2. *For each real root α, $\mathrm{End}(\Delta(\alpha)) \cong k[x_\alpha]$, where x_α has degree $2d_\alpha$. Furthermore, there is a unique such isomorphism satisfying the following relations in $\mathrm{End}(\Delta(\alpha) \circ \Delta(\alpha))$: $\tau x_2 = x_1\tau + 1$ and $x_2\tau = \tau x_1 + 1$, where x_1 and x_2 are the endomorphisms $x_\alpha \circ id$ and $id \circ x_\alpha$ respectively.* □

Definition 4.3. *$x_k(\mathbf{i}) \in \mathrm{End}(P)$ is the element which acts by id $\circ \cdots \circ$ id $\circ\, x \circ$ id $\cdots \circ$ id on $\Delta(i_1) \circ \cdots \circ \Delta(i_n)$ and by zero on all other summands, where the x is the element from Theorem 4.2 acting in the k-th place.*

Pick $\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j} \in \mathrm{Seq}(\nu)$. Let ${}_{\mathbf{j}}S_{\mathbf{i}}$ be the subset of the symmetric group S_n consisting of permutations taking $\mathbf{i}$ to $\mathbf{j}$ in the standard action of permutations on sequences; so ${}_{\mathbf{j}}S_{\mathbf{i}}$ is a subset of the symmetric group permuting sequences of Δ_F roots. For each $w \in {}_{\mathbf{j}}S_{\mathbf{i}}$, pick a reduced decomposition $w = s_{i_1} \cdots s_{i_n}$. We use this reduced decomposition to create an element

$$\tau_w(\mathbf{i}) = \tau_{i_1}(s_{i_2} \cdots s_{i_n}\mathbf{i}) \cdots \tau_{i_n}(\mathbf{i}).$$

Define $\deg_{\mathbf{i}}(w)$ to be $\deg \tau_w(\mathbf{i})$, and notice that this does not depend on the reduced decomposition.

Lemma 4.4. *Let* $\mathbf{i}$ *and* $\mathbf{j}$ *be two elements of* $\mathrm{Seq}_F(\nu)$*. Then*

$$\mathrm{Res}_{\mathbf{j}} \Delta(\mathbf{i}) \cong \bigoplus_{w \in {}_{\mathbf{j}}S_{\mathbf{i}}} q^{\deg_{\mathbf{i}}(w)} \Delta(\mathbf{j}_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \Delta(\mathbf{j}_n).$$

Proof. It is clear that there are subquotients of $\mathrm{Res}_{\mathbf{j}}(\Delta(\mathbf{i}))$ in the Mackey filtration (Theorem 2.13) corresponding to permutations in ${}_{\mathbf{j}}S_{\mathbf{i}}$. Once we can show that these are all the nonzero subquotients, the filtration will split by Theorem 3.3.

Suppose then that we have a subquotient that does not correspond to a permutation in ${}_{\mathbf{j}}S_{\mathbf{i}}$ (that is, which mixes the Δ_F roots). Let k be the first index such that $\mathbf{j}_k$ is a nontrivial sum $\mathbf{j}_k = \sum_i \eta_{ik}$. For each i such that $\eta_{ik} \neq 0$, the minimality of k implies that $\mathrm{Res}_{\eta_{ik}, \mathbf{i}_i - \eta_{ik}} \Delta(\mathbf{i}_i) \neq 0$ if we are to get a nonzero piece of the filtration. The face-cuspidality of $\Delta(\mathbf{i}_i)$ implies that $c(\eta_{ik}) \leq 0$. Since $\mathbf{j}_k$ is a simple root in F, η_{ik} does not lie in F and hence $c(\eta_{ik}) < 0$. Since $\mathbf{j}_k = \sum_i \eta_{ik}$, convexity implies that $c(\mathbf{j}_k) < 0$, a contradiction. □

Theorem 4.5. *Let* $\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j} \in \mathrm{Seq}_F(\nu)$*. Then*

$$\{\tau_w(\mathbf{i}) x_1(\mathbf{i})^{a_1} \cdots x_n(\mathbf{i})^{a_n} \mid w \in {}_{\mathbf{j}}S_{\mathbf{i}}, a_1, \ldots, a_n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

is a basis of $\mathit{Hom}(\Delta(\mathbf{j}), \Delta(\mathbf{i}))$*.*

Proof. These endomorphisms are linearly independent since they produce linearly independent vectors when applied to the element $v_{\mathbf{i}_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{\mathbf{i}_n}$. We now compute, by adjunction and Lemma 4.4,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Hom}(\Delta(\mathbf{j}), \Delta(\mathbf{i})) &\cong \mathrm{Hom}(\Delta(\mathbf{j}_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \Delta(\mathbf{j}_n), \mathrm{Res}_{\mathbf{j}} \Delta(\mathbf{i})) \\
&\cong \bigoplus_{w \in {}_{\mathbf{j}}S_{\mathbf{i}}} q^{\deg \tau_w(\mathbf{i})} \mathrm{End}(\Delta(\mathbf{j}_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \Delta(\mathbf{j}_n)) \\
&\cong \bigoplus_{w \in {}_{\mathbf{j}}S_{\mathbf{i}}} q^{\deg \tau_w(\mathbf{i})} \bigotimes_{i=1}^{n} \mathrm{End}(\Delta(\mathbf{j}_i)).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\dim \mathrm{Hom}(\Delta(\mathbf{j}), \Delta(\mathbf{i})) = \sum_{w \in {}_{\mathbf{j}}S_{\mathbf{i}}} q^{\deg \tau_w(\mathbf{i})} \prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 - q^{\mathbf{j}_i \cdot \mathbf{j}_i})^{-1}.$$

This dimension count shows that our linearly independent set of homomorphisms must be a basis, as required. □

Corollary 4.6. *If* α *and* β *are distinct roots in* Δ_F*, then*

$$\mathrm{End}(\Delta(\alpha) \circ \Delta(\beta)) \cong k[x_\alpha, x_\beta],$$

where x_α *and* x_β *are from Theorem 4.2.* □

4.2. **Relations.** For $i, j \in \Delta_F$, define the polynomials $Q_{ij}(u, v) \in k[u, v]$ by

$$\tau_1(ji)\tau_1(ij) = Q_{ij}(x_1(ij), x_2(ij)).$$

Such polynomials exist by Corollary 4.6. By Theorem 4.2, they are homogeneous of degree $i \cdot j$. When $i = j$, the polynomial Q_{ii} is zero.

Lemma 4.7. *If* $i \neq j$*, then* $x_1 \tau_1(ij) = \tau_1(ij) x_2$*.*

Proof. Apply both sides to elements of the form $u \otimes v$. By Theorem 4.5, this is sufficient to deduce the identity. □

Lemma 4.8. $Q_{ij}(u,v) = Q_{ji}(v,u)$.

Proof. We compute

$$\tau_1(ij)\tau_1(ji)\tau_1(ij) = \tau_1(ij)Q_{ij}(y_1,y_2).$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_1(ij)\tau_1(ji)\tau_1(ij) &= Q_{ji}(y_1,y_2)\tau_1(ij)\\ &= \tau_1(ij)Q_{ji}(y_1,y_2),\end{aligned}$$

using the definition of Q_{ij} and the relation of Lemma 4.7. Considering the basis for $R_{\mathcal{F}}$ from Theorem 4.5, we conclude that $Q_{ij}(u,v) = Q_{ji}(v,u)$. □

Lemma 4.9. *The following relations hold:*

$$\tau_1(jki)\tau_2(jik)\tau_1(ijk) - \tau_2(ijk)\tau_1(ikj)\tau_2(kij) = \begin{cases} \frac{Q_{ij}(y_1,y_2)-Q_{ij}(y_3,y_2)}{y_1-y_3} & \text{if } i=k \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

Proof. Apply $\tau_1\tau_2\tau_1 - \tau_2\tau_1\tau_2$ to the vector $v_i \otimes v_j \otimes v_k$. By the straightening relations in the KLR algebra, only terms of the form $P\ \psi_w v_i \otimes v_j \otimes v_k$ can appear, where P is a polynomial in y_1, y_2, y_3 and w is a permutation strictly less than $w_1w_2w_1$ in Bruhat order. Here w_i is the permutation that acts as $w[|\beta_{i_k}|, |\beta_{i_{k+1}}|]$ on the $i, i+1$ factors, and trivially on the other factor.

On the other hand $\tau_1\tau_2\tau_1 - \tau_2\tau_1\tau_2$ is a module homomorphism, so Theorem 4.5 severely restricts its possibilities. Unless $i = k$, there are no possibilities which are compatible with the above observation and so the difference must be zero. If $i = j = k$, there are no possibilities of the right degree (i.e. $-3\langle\alpha_i, \alpha_i^\vee\rangle$), so again the difference must be zero. Therefore, it suffices to consider the case where $i = k \neq j$.

In this case the same considerations show that $\tau_1\tau_2\tau_1 - \tau_2\tau_1\tau_2 = P(y_1,y_2,y_3)$. Multiplying on the left by τ_1 gives

$$\tau_1^2\tau_2\tau_1 - \tau_1\tau_2\tau_1\tau_2 = \tau_1 P.$$

Apply the case of this Lemma which we have already proved to get

$$\tau_1^2\tau_2\tau_1 - \tau_2\tau_1\tau_2\tau_2 = \tau_1 P.$$

Simplifying the τ_i^2 terms gives

$$Q(y_1,y_2)\tau_2\tau_1 - \tau_2\tau_1 Q(y_2,y_3) = \tau_1 P.$$

Applying the known relations from Lemma 4.7 and Theorem 4.2 (pushing a dot past a crossing in diagrammatic notation) and then using Theorem 4.5 uniquely determines P. But the stated P does satisfy the equation, since this is true in the standard KLR algebra. □

Theorem 4.5 and the above relations show that R_F is a pseudo-KLR algebra.

Lemma 4.10. *Let A be a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded algebra with $\dim A_n$ finite for all n and zero for sufficiently negative n. Let P be a finitely generated projective A-module and let $B = \mathrm{End}_A(P)$. Then the number of irreducible modules for B is equal to the number of irreducible quotients of P, where both counts are taken up to grading shift and isomorphism.*

Proof. Write $P = \oplus_i P_i^{\oplus d_i}$ where the P_i are pairwise non-isomorphic indecomposable projectives and the d_i are positive integers. Then $\mathrm{End}(P) = \oplus_{i,j} \mathrm{Hom}(P_i^{d_i}, P_j^{d_j})$. Then the radical of $\mathrm{End}(P)$ is generated by the radicals of each $\mathrm{End}(P_i)$ as well as all of $\mathrm{Hom}(P_i, P_j)$ for $i \neq j$, and the maximal semisimple quotient of $\mathrm{End}(P)$ is $\oplus_i \mathrm{End}(\mathrm{hd}(P_i)^{\oplus d_i})$. Therefore the number of irreducible representations of $\mathrm{End}(P)$ is equal to the number of irreducible quotients of P. □

Lemma 4.11. *The coefficient of $u^{-a_{ij}}$ in $Q_{ij}(u, v)$ is nonzero.*

Proof. Suppose instead that the coefficient of $u^{-a_{ij}}$ in $Q_{ij}(u, v)$ was zero. Consider the module $P_{(1-a_{ij})\alpha_i+\alpha_j}$ (i.e. the component of P of weight $(1 - a_{ij})\alpha_i + \alpha_j$). By Theorem 2.15, Lemma 4.9 and Lemma 4.10, $P_{(1-a_{ij})\alpha_i+\alpha_j}$ has at least $2 - a_{ij}$ pairwise non-isomorphic irreducible quotients.

Pick a convex order $\prec$ on Φ^+ for which α_i and α_j span a face. If the face is of finite type then by Theorem 2.25 the number of cuspidal modules for this face is the number of elements of the corresponding rank two crystal, which is $1 - a_{ij}$, so, this is a contradiction.

Since Φ is either of finite or symmetric affine type, it remains to consider the case where α_i and α_j span a root system of type $A_1^{(1)}$. Then the minimal imaginary root is $\delta = \alpha_i + \alpha_j$, and we have shown that the module $P_{3\alpha_i+\alpha_j}$ has at least four pairwise non-isomorphic irreducible quotients. In terms of the classification in Theorem 2.19, at least two of them are of the form $\mathrm{hd}(L \circ L(\alpha_i) \circ L(\alpha_i))$ where L is a cuspidal $R(\delta)$-module.

Since $P_{3\alpha_i+\alpha_j}$ is projective, there is a nonzero morphism

$$P_{3\alpha_i+\alpha_j} \to L \circ L(\alpha_i) \circ L(\alpha_i)$$

which by the restriction-coinduction adjunction corresponds to a nonzero morphism

$$\mathrm{Res}_{\alpha_i,\alpha_i,\delta} P_{3\alpha_i+\alpha_j} \to L(\alpha_i) \otimes L(\alpha_i) \otimes L.$$

The Mackey filtration allows us to see that $\mathrm{Res}_{\alpha_i,\alpha_i,\delta} P_{3\alpha_i+\alpha_j}$ is a direct sum of modules of the form

$$\Delta(\alpha_i) \otimes \Delta(\alpha_i) \otimes (\Delta(\alpha_i) \circ \Delta(\alpha_j)) \text{ or } \Delta(\alpha_i) \otimes \Delta(\alpha_i) \otimes (\Delta(\alpha_j) \circ \Delta(\alpha_i)).$$

By the cuspidality of L, there does not exist a morphism from $\Delta(\alpha_i) \circ \Delta(\alpha_j)$ to L. Therefore there must exist a nonzero morphism from $\Delta(\alpha_j) \circ \Delta(\alpha_i)$ to L.

The algebra $\mathrm{End}(\Delta(\alpha_j) \circ \Delta(\alpha_i))$ is isomorphic to $k[x, y]$ by Corollary 4.6, which has a unique irreducible quotient. So invoking Lemma 4.10 again, $\Delta(\alpha_j) \circ \Delta(\alpha_i)$ has a unique irreducible quotient. However we have two non-isomorphic modules L which are both quotients of $\Delta(\alpha_j) \circ \Delta(\alpha_i)$ which is a contradiction. □

The results in this section prove the following:

Theorem 4.12. *The algebra $R_F = \mathrm{End}(P)$ is a KLR algebra for the root system Φ_F.* □

5. Face Functors

Fix a face F. Let R_F be the graded algebra $\mathrm{End}(P)$, where P is the projective object in $\mathcal{C}_F$ studied in §4.

Definition 5.1. *The face functor for the face F is the functor $\mathcal{F} : X \to P \otimes_{R_F} X$ from R_F-mod to $\mathcal{C}_F$.*

The image of $\mathcal{F}$ lies in $\mathcal{C}_F$ since $\mathcal{F}$ sends a free rank one R_F-module to P, $\mathcal{F}$ is right exact and $\mathcal{C}_\mathcal{F}$ is closed under taking quotients.

Remark 5.2. This face functor is an example of the functors constructed by Kashiwara and Park [KP] in terms of duality data.

Lemma 5.3. *The face functor $\mathcal{F}$ is fully faithful.*

Proof. We prove this by using the criterion that a left adjoint is fully faithful if and only if the unit of adjunction is a natural isomorphism. The functor $\mathcal{F}$ is left adjoint to the functor $\mathrm{Hom}(P, -)$. The unit of this adjunction is an isomorphism on free modules by the construction of the face KLR algebra as an endomorphism algebra. The general case follows by considering a free resolution since tensoring is right exact and the Hom functor is exact since P is projective. □

Theorem 5.4. *If F is of finite type then $\mathcal{F}$ is an equivalence of categories.*

Proof. This is immediate since P is a projective generator by Theorem 3.4. □

Recall the definition of $\mathcal{B}_\mathcal{C}$ from Definition 2.23. Let $\mathcal{B}_F$ be the set of self-dual simple modules for the KLR algebra R_F. In [TW, Definition 3.21], face crystal operators $\tilde{e}_\alpha$ and $\tilde{f}_\alpha$ are constructed on $\mathcal{B}_\mathcal{C}$ for all $\alpha \in \Delta_F$. An immediate consequence of the above theorem is that the face functor intertwines the crystal operators for $\mathcal{B}_F$ and the face crystal operators on $\mathcal{B}_\mathcal{C}$. In fact, this also holds in the affine types we consider, and is stated in that more general context in Corollary 6.7 below.

6. Properties of affine face functors

6.1. **Face crystal operators.** Fix a face F and a convex order $\prec$ compatible with F. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the face functor $\mathcal{F}(X) = P \otimes_{R_F} X$ from §5.

We will be studying the standard modules introduced in [BKM] and [M]. They depend on the choice of convex order $\prec$ and are built out of root modules. The root modules corresponding to real roots have already been introduced, these are the modules $\Delta(\alpha)$. For the indivisible imaginary root δ, we will call the modules denoted $\Delta(\omega)$ in [M] root modules - these are the projective modules in the category of cuspidal $R(\delta)$-modules for the convex order $\prec$.

Standard modules are naturally indexed by root partitions. A root partition is a sequence $\lambda = (\alpha_1^{n_1}, \cdots, \alpha_l^{n_l})$ where $\alpha_1 \succ \cdots \succ \alpha_l$ are indivisible roots, each n_i is a positive integer unless $\alpha_i = \delta$, in which case it is a collection of partitions. To each term $\alpha_i^{n_i}$ a standard module $\Delta(\alpha_i)^{(n_i)}$ is constructed. If α_i is real then $\Delta(\alpha_i)^{\circ n_i}$ is a direct sum of $n_i!$ copies of the module $\Delta(\alpha_i)^{(n_i)}$ with grading shifts. If α_i is imaginary then $\Delta(\alpha_i)^{(n_i)}$ is a summand of a product of certain modules $\Delta(\omega)$ of weight δ in $\mathcal{C}_F$; see [M] for the details (where this module is denoted $\Delta(\underline{\lambda})$). The standard module is then defined to be the indecomposable module

$$\Delta(\lambda) = \Delta(\alpha_1)^{(n_1)} \circ \cdots \circ \Delta(\alpha_l)^{(n_l)}.$$

The theory developed in [BKM] and [M] proves homological properties about these modules which justifies the name standard in the setting of affine quasi-hereditary algebras.

Since we have a face F and a convex order $\prec$ compatible with F, we naturally get a convex order $\prec_F$ on the face root system Φ_F. Thus we can talk about root modules for both R and R_F.

Lemma 6.1. *The face functor $\mathcal{F}$ sends the root modules $\Delta(\beta)$ to root modules.*

Proof. We induct on the height of the root. Let Δ be a root module for R_F. If $\mathrm{wt}(\Delta) \in \Delta_F$, the result is trivial. Otherwise, we can find root modules $\Delta(\beta)$ and $\Delta(\gamma)$ such that there is a short exact sequence

$$0 \to q^{-\beta\cdot\gamma}\Delta(\beta) \circ \Delta(\gamma) \to \Delta(\gamma) \circ \Delta(\beta) \to \Delta^{\oplus m} \to 0$$

for some positive q-integer m. In finite type this is [BKM, Theorem 4.10] and in symmetric affine type this is [M, Lemma 16.1], together with [M, Corollary 17.2] or [M, Theorem 18.2] to ensure m is nonzero.

Now apply the functor $\mathcal{F}$ to this short exact sequence. Since $\mathcal{F}$ is right exact, $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)^{\oplus m}$ is identified as the cokernel of a map from $q^{-\beta\cdot\gamma}\mathcal{F}(\Delta(\beta)) \circ \mathcal{F}(\Delta(\gamma))$ to $\mathcal{F}(\Delta(\gamma)) \circ \mathcal{F}(\Delta(\beta))$. By the inductive hypothesis, $\mathcal{F}(\Delta(\beta))$ and $\mathcal{F}(\Delta(\gamma))$ are root modules. Therefore this cokernel is a direct sum of copies of a root module coming from the corresponding short exact sequence of root modules in R-mod. Since the root modules are indecomposable, the Krull-Schmidt theorem implies that $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$ is a root module, as required. □

Lemma 6.2. *For all R_F modules A and B there is a natural isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{F}(A) \circ \mathcal{F}(B) \cong \mathcal{F}(A \circ B).$$

Proof. The functor sending $A \otimes B$ to $\mathcal{F}(A) \circ \mathcal{F}(B)$ is given by tensoring with the bimodule $P_\lambda \circ P_\mu$, where A is an $(R_F)_\lambda$ module and B an $(R_F)_\mu$ module. The functor sending $A \otimes B$ to $\mathcal{F}(A \circ B)$ is given by tensoring with the bimodule $P_{\lambda+\mu}$. These bimodules are clearly isomorphic. □

Proposition 6.3. *The face functor $\mathcal{F}$ takes standard modules to standard modules.*

Proof. Standard modules are built from root modules from a process of inducing and taking direct summands. By Lemma 6.1, $\mathcal{F}$ takes root modules to root modules. The functor $\mathcal{F}$ commutes with induction by Lemma 6.2 and clearly commutes with taking direct summands. □

Lemma 6.4. *If L is simple, $\mathcal{F}(L)$ has simple head.*

Proof. Every simple module L is the head of a standard module Δ. As $\mathcal{F}$ is right exact, $\mathcal{F}(L)$ is a quotient of $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$. The module $\mathcal{F}(\Delta)$ is standard by Proposition 6.3, so has a simple head. To complete the proof, we need to know that $\mathcal{F}(L) \neq 0$, which is true by Lemma 5.3. □

Proposition 6.5. *For all simple modules L of R_F and $i' \in I_F$ (the index set for the face root system), we have*

$$\mathrm{hd}(\mathcal{F}(\mathrm{hd}(L \circ L(i'))) \cong \mathrm{hd}(\mathrm{hd}\,\mathcal{F}(L) \circ \mathcal{F}(L(i'))),$$

up to a grading shift and both sides are nonzero simple modules.

Remark 6.6. We expect that the grading shift in Proposition 6.5 is unnecessary.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{F}$ is right exact, $\mathcal{F}(L \circ L(i'))$ surjects onto $\mathcal{F}(\mathrm{hd}(L \circ L(i')))$ and hence onto $\mathrm{hd}(\mathcal{F}(\mathrm{hd}(L \circ L(i'))))$, which is simple by Lemma 6.4.

On the other hand $\mathcal{F}$ commutes with induction (Lemma 6.2), so $\mathcal{F}(L \circ L(i')) \cong \mathcal{F}(L) \circ \mathcal{F}(L(i'))$. This surjects onto $\mathcal{F}(L) \circ \mathrm{hd}\,\mathcal{F}(L(i'))$ and hence onto $\mathrm{hd}(\mathcal{F}(L) \circ \mathrm{hd}\,\mathcal{F}(L(i')))$. This last is simple by [TW, Proposition 3.22] since $\mathcal{F}$ sends $L(i')$ to a simple root module.

Choose a convex order on Φ_F with i' minimal, and a compatible convex order on Φ. The module $L \circ L(i')$ is a quotient of $\Delta(L) \circ \Delta(i')$, and it is immediate from the definition of standard modules (see [M, §24]) that this last is isomorphic to a direct sum of copies of $\Delta(\tilde{f}_i(L))$. Here $\Delta(L)$, $\Delta(\tilde{f}_i(L))$ and $\Delta(i')$ are the standard modules corresponding to L, $\tilde{f}_i(L)$ and $L(i')$. Since $\mathcal{F}$ sends standard modules to standard modules, we see that $\mathcal{F}(L \circ L(i'))$ can only have one isomorphism class of simple quotient up to a grading shift. The quotients are both simple so they are isomorphic up to a grading shift. □

Corollary 6.7. *The face functor intertwines the crystal operators for $\mathcal{B}_F$ and the face crystal operators on $\mathcal{B}_\mathcal{C}$ from* [TW]. □

Remark 6.8. In [KP, Theorem 4.4(ii)(a)], it is proven that, if F is of finite type, then the functor $\mathcal{F}$ takes a simple module either to a simple module or zero (their construction is more general, and by Lemma 5.3 the latter is not possible in our case). This is not true in affine type, as we discuss in §6.2. Thus taking the head is necessary in Proposition 6.5.

6.2. **An affine type example.** Let Φ be a root system of type $A_2^{(1)}$ with simple roots α_0, α_1 and α_2. Let the polynomials defining the KLR algebra be $Q_{i,i+1}(u,v) = s_i u + t_i v$ where all indices are read modulo 3.

Let π be the standard projection from affine roots to finite type roots (which sends δ to zero). Let

$$F^+ = \pi^{-1}\{\alpha_2, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2\},$$
$$F = \pi^{-1}\{\alpha_1, -\alpha_1, 0\},$$
$$F^- = \pi^{-1}\{-\alpha_2, -\alpha_1 - \alpha_2\}.$$

Then (F_+, F, F_-) is a face according to Definition 2.1. Write $\beta = \alpha_1$ and $\gamma = \alpha_0 + \alpha_2$ for the simple roots in Φ_F.

The cuspidal $L(\gamma)$-module has character $[02]$. Let v_γ be a lowest degree element of $\Delta(\gamma)$. The endomorphism x_γ of $\Delta(\gamma)$ (normalized as in Theorem 4.2) satisfies

$$x_\gamma v_\gamma = -t_0^{-1} x_1 v_\gamma = s_0^{-1} x_2 v_\gamma.$$

Explicit computation shows that

$$Q_{\beta\gamma}(u,v) = s_0 s_1 u^2 + (s_0 s_1 s_2 - t_0 t_1 t_2) uv - s_2 t_0 t_1 t_2 v^2.$$

Note that

- The coefficient of uv is zero if and only if $s_0 s_1 s_2 = t_0 t_1 t_2$.
- The discriminant of Q is zero if and only if $s_0 s_1 s_2 + t_0 t_1 t_2 = 0$.

These observations imply that

- Examples 3.3 and 3.4 of [K] are related by a face functor.
- R is of geometric type if and only if R_F is (see Lemmas 2.10 and 2.11).

Let δ be the minimal imaginary root. In this case R_F is the KLR algebra of type $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$. We use $0', 1'$, δ' to denote the two simple roots and the imaginary root for $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$. Choose the convex order with $0' \prec 1'$, and let $\Delta(\delta')$ be the projective in the cuspidal category for this order. This has character $\frac{1+q^2}{1-q^2}[0'1']$ and there is an exact sequence

$$2q^2\Delta(\delta') \to \Delta(\delta') \to L(\delta') \to 0.$$

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the face functor which sends $L(0')$ to $L(\alpha_2 + \alpha_0)$, which is compatible with the refined convex order with $\alpha_2 + \alpha_0 \prec \delta$. The chamber weights for this refined order are ω_2, which corresponds to the face we are considering, and ω_1. Lemma 6.1, along with the fact that $\mathcal{F}$ is right exact, implies this gets sent to an exact sequence

$$2q^2\Delta(\omega_2) \to \Delta(\omega_2) \to F(L(\delta')) \to 0.$$

But $\Delta(\omega_2)$ has a copy of $L(\omega_1)$ in degree 1, which cannot be in the image of $2q^2\Delta(\omega_2)$, so it survives in $F(L(\delta'))$. The head of $F(L(0))$ is $L(\omega_2)$, so $\mathcal{F}(L(\delta'))$ has length at least 2 (in fact, exactly 2), and in particular is not simple.

This calculation implies that $\mathcal{F}$ is not an equivalence of categories. Among other things, this implies that P is not a projective generator of $\mathcal{C}_F$.

7. Categories of Imaginary Cuspidal Representations

Now suppose Φ is of affine type and that the KLR algebra R is of geometric type. Fix a convex order $\prec$ on Φ. Let δ be the minimal imaginary root. We study here the category of cuspidal $R(\delta)$-modules. This depends on $\prec$ as described in [M, §13].

As in [M, §12], define $S(\delta)$ to be the quotient of $R(\delta)$ by the two sided ideal generated by all $e_{\mathbf{i}}$ for $\mathbf{i}$ which are not cuspidal, so that the category of $S(\delta)$-modules is equivalent to the category of cuspidal $R(\delta)$-modules. By [M, §17], the simple $S(\delta)$-modules are naturally parametrized by a set Ω of chamber coweights for an underlying finite type Cartan matrix. For each $\omega \in \Omega$, let $L(\omega)$ be the self-dual irreducible module parametrized by ω and let $\Delta(\omega)$ be its projective cover in the category of cuspidal $R(\delta)$-modules. Additionally, to each $\omega \in \Omega$, we consider the real roots (ω_-, ω_+), defined in [M, §13] (see also [TW, §3.4], where ω_- is called β_0) which have the following properties:

- $\omega_- \in F_-$ and $\omega_+ \in F_+$.
- $L(\omega) = hd(L(w_-) \circ L(w_+))$.

For each real root α, let E_α and E^*_α be the PBW and dual PBW basis vectors for the PBW basis associated to $\prec$. (see e.g. [M, §9] for a definition). What is important for us is [M, Theorem 9.1], which says that $[L(\alpha)] = E^*_\alpha$ when the Grothendieck group of R-modules is identified with the positive part of the quantum group.

7.1. The Cartan matrix for $S(\delta)$.

The following is immediate from [TW, Proposition 3.31 and Lemma 3.44], but for completeness we provide an alternative proof.

Lemma 7.1. *For all $x \neq \omega$ in Ω, we have $L(x) \circ L(\omega_-) \cong L(\omega_-) \circ L(x)$.*

Proof. By [M, Theorem 10.1], there is a short exact sequence

$$0 \to X \to L(x) \circ L(\omega_-) \to L(x, \omega_-) \to 0$$

where $L(x, \omega_-)$ is the irreducible module associated to the root partition (x, ω_-) and X is a successive extension of grading shifts of the cuspidal module $L(\delta + \omega_-)$. By [M, Lemma 7.5] and [M, Theorem 9.1], we have $[X] \in q\mathbb{N}[q]E^*_{\delta+\omega_-}$ in the Grothendieck group of R-modules, identified with the positive part of the quantum group.

By (2.4), we have

$$\mathrm{Hom}(L(\delta + \omega_-), L(x) \circ L(\omega_-)) \cong \mathrm{Hom}(L(\omega_-) \otimes L(x), \mathrm{Res}_{\omega_-,\delta} L(\delta + \omega_-)).$$

The proof of [M, Lemma 21.8] shows that $\mathrm{Res}_{\omega_-,\delta} L(\delta+\omega_-)$ has $L(\omega_-)\otimes L(x)$ appearing with graded multiplicity 1 or 0. Therefore the only possible homomorphisms between $L(\delta+\omega_-)$ and $L(x)\circ L(\omega_-)$ are in degree zero. Combined with $[X]\in q\mathbb{N}[q]E^*_{\delta+\omega_-}$, the only option is $X=0$.

Therefore $L(x)\circ L(\omega_-)\cong L(x,\omega_-)$ and so upon taking duals we get the statement of the Lemma. □

Lemma 7.2. [M, Lemma 21.7] *For any $\omega\in\Omega$ there is a short exact sequence*

$$0\to qL(\delta+\omega_-)\to L(\omega)\circ L(\omega_-)\to L(\omega,\omega_-)\to 0.$$

By Lemmas 7.1 and 7.2,

$$[E^*_{\omega_-},[L(x)]]=\begin{cases}(q^{-1}-q)E^*_{\delta+\omega_-} & \text{if } x=\omega\\ 0 & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases} \tag{7.1}$$

On the other hand the proof of [M, Lemma 21.8] shows that

$$[E_{\omega_-},[\Delta(x)]]=\begin{cases}(q+q^{-1})E_{\delta+\omega_-} & \text{if } x=\omega\\ 0 & \text{if } x \text{ and } \omega \text{ are orthogonal}\\ E_{\delta+\omega_-} & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases} \tag{7.2}$$

Proposition 7.3. *Fix x,ω in Ω. The multiplicity of $L(x)$ in $\Delta(\omega)$ is*

$$[\Delta(\omega):L(x)]=\begin{cases}\frac{1+q^2}{1-q^2} & \textit{if } x=\omega\\ 0 & \textit{if } x \textit{ and } \omega \textit{ are orthogonal}\\ \frac{q}{1-q^2} & \textit{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

Proof. Write $[\Delta(y)]=\sum_x p_{y,x}[L(x)]$. By (7.1),

$$(q^{-1}-q)p_{y,\omega}E^*_{\delta+\omega_-}=[E^*_{\omega_-},[\Delta(y)]].$$

The commutator formula (7.2) together with $E^*_\alpha=(1-q^{\alpha\cdot\alpha})E_\alpha$ completes the proof. □

Corollary 7.4. *Suppose $x,\omega\in\Omega$. Then*

$$\dim_q \mathit{Hom}(\Delta(\omega),\Delta(x))=\begin{cases}\frac{1+q^2}{1-q^2} & \textit{if } x=\omega\\ 0 & \textit{if } x \textit{ and } \omega \textit{ are orthogonal}\\ \frac{q}{1-q^2} & \textit{otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

The following is immediate from [M, Lemma 15.1]

Lemma 7.5. *Let $S'(\delta)$ be the subalgebra of $S(\delta)$ generated by the $e_{\mathbf{i}}$, y_j-y_{j+1} and ψ_k. Then $S(\delta)\cong k[z]\otimes S'(\delta)$ where z has degree 2.* □

Theorem 7.6. *Let ω be a chamber coweight. Then $\mathrm{End}(\Delta(\omega))\cong k[z,\epsilon]/(\epsilon^2)$ where z and ϵ are in degree 2.*

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{End}_{R(\delta)}\Delta(\omega)&\cong\mathrm{End}_{S(\delta)}\Delta(\omega)\\ &\cong k[z]\otimes\mathrm{End}_{S'(\delta)}(\Delta(\omega)/z\Delta(\omega))\\ &\cong k[z]\otimes k[\epsilon]/(\epsilon^2).\end{aligned}$$

The second isomorphism holds by Lemma 7.5 and the fact that $\Delta(\omega)$ is a projective $S(\delta)$-module. The third holds by Corollary 7.4. □

7.2. **The Zigzag Algebra.** Let Γ be a connected graph (the most important case for us is an ADE Dynkin diagram). The zigzag algebra Z_Γ associated to Γ, as defined in [HK], is the graded algebra with basis elements e_i for each vertex i, h_{ij} for each ordered pair i, j of vertices with an edge from i to j, and w_i for each vertex i. The elements e_i are in degree zero, h_{ij} are in degree one and w_i are in degree two. Multiplication is given by

$$\begin{aligned} e_i e_j &= \delta_{ij} e_j \\ e_i h_{jk} &= \delta_{ij} h_{jk} \\ h_{ij} e_k &= \delta_{jk} h_{ij} \\ e_i w_j &= w_j e_i = \delta_{ij} w_i \\ h_{ij} h_{kl} &= \delta_{jk}\delta_{il} w_i, \end{aligned}$$

and all other products of basis elements are zero.

Lemma 7.7. *Let R be a geometric KLR algebra for $A_2^{(1)}$. The corresponding algebra $S(\delta)$ is isomorphic to the tensor product of $k[z]$ and the A_2-zigzag algebra, where z has degree two.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.10, we can take $I = \mathbb{Z}/3$, and the defining polynomials for R to be $Q_{i,i+1}(u, v) = u - v$.

By applying an outer automorphism of the Dynkin diagram of $A_2^{(1)}$, we can assume without loss of generality that the two cuspidal representations of $R(\delta)$ are the simple modules with characters $[012]$ and $[021]$. This is because all possible cases are related by diagram automorphisms.

Consider S' from Lemma 7.5. The irreducible representations are one dimensional, so the Cartan matrix computed in Proposition 7.3 tells us its dimension. Specifically $e_{012}S'(\delta)e_{012}$ has dimension $1 + q^2$ and $e_{012}S'(\delta)e_{021}$ has dimension q.

It is now a straightforward computation to write down the basis of $S'(\delta)$ as $\{e_{012}, \psi_2 e_{012}, (y_2 - y_3)e_{012}, e_{021}, \psi_2 e_{021}, (y_2 - y_3)e_{021}\}$. This is obviously isomorphic to the A_2 zigzag algebra. □

Lemma 7.8. *Let R be a geometric KLR algebra of symmetric affine type and let F be a face of type $A_2^{(1)}$. Then the KLR algebra R_F is of geometric type.*

Proof. Fix a face F' of type $A_1^{(1)}$ contained in F. Consider the sequence of face functors

$$R_{F'}\text{-mod} \to R_F\text{-mod} \to R\text{-mod}.$$

If $Q(u, v)$ is the quadratic polynomial defining the KLR algebra $R_{F'}$ and Δ is the unique indecomposable projective in the category of cuspidal $R_{F'}(\delta)$ modules, then a direct computation shows $\mathrm{End}(\Delta) \cong k[u, v]/Q(u, v)$.

Let $\Delta(x)$ be the projective in the category of F-cuspidal modules that is the image of Δ under the above functors. By Theorem 7.6, $\mathrm{End}(\Delta(x)) \cong k[z, \epsilon]/\epsilon^2$. Since face functors are fully faithful,

$$k[u, v]/Q(u, v) \cong k[z, \epsilon]/\epsilon^2,$$

which implies that $Q(u, v)$ has discriminant zero, so $R_{F'}$ is of geometric type. But then the example in §6.2 shows that R_F is of geometric type. □

Theorem 7.9. *Consider a geometric KLR algebra of symmetric affine type. Let δ be the smallest imaginary root and fix a convex order $\prec$. The category of cuspidal $R(\delta)$-modules is equivalent to the category of modules over the algebra $k[z] \otimes Z$ where Z is the zigzag algebra corresponding to the underlying finite type Dynkin diagram.*

Proof. The direct sum of the modules $\Delta(\omega)$ and their grading shifts is a projective generator of the category of $S(\delta)$-modules, so by Morita theory it suffices to show

$$\mathrm{End}\left(\bigoplus_{\omega\in\Omega}\Delta(\omega)\right) \cong Z \otimes k[z].$$

Corollary 7.4 shows the endomorphism algebra has the right dimension.

Let x and y be two elements of Ω connected by an edge. By Corollary 7.4 there is a unique up to scalar nonzero degree 1 morphism $h_{xy} : \Delta(x) \longrightarrow \Delta(y)$. By Theorem 7.6, for all $x \in \Omega$ there is unique up to scalar nonzero degree 2 morphism $\epsilon_x : \Delta(x) \longrightarrow \Delta(x)$ which squares to zero. If we can show that $h_{xy} \circ h_{yx}$ is a nonzero multiple of ϵ_x, then we can rescale the h_{xy} to ensure that this scalar is one, which will complete the proof.

By [M, Theorem 13.1 and Theorem 17.3], the category of $S(\delta)$-modules only depends on the positive system $p(\Phi^+_\prec)$, so we can assume that our convex order is such that the span of $x_\pm$, $y_\pm$ and δ is a face F. Consider the face functor

$$R_F\text{-mod} \to R\text{-mod}.$$

This is fully faithful and sends standard modules to standard modules, so, to prove that $h_{xy} \circ h_{yx}$ is a nonzero multiple of ϵ_x, it suffices to prove this for R_F. But R_F is of type $A_2^{(1)}$ and by Lemma 7.8 is of geometric type, so this is immediate from Lemma 7.7. □

Remark 7.10. Kleshchev and Muth [KM] have independently proven Theorem 7.9 for balanced convex orders, without using face functors. They also discuss analogues for $R(n\delta)$ with $n > 1$.

REFERENCES

[BKM] Jon Brundan, Alexander Kleshchev and Peter J. McNamara, Homological Properties of Finite Type Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier Algebras. *Duke Math. J.* **163** (2014), no. 7, 1353–1404. arXiv:1210.6900

[HK] R.S. Huerfano and M. Khovanov, A category for the adjoint representation, *J. Algebra* **246** (2001), 514–542. arXiv:math/0002060

[K] Masaki Kashiwara, Notes on parameters of quiver Hecke algebras. *Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci.* **88** (2012), no. 7, 97–102 arXiv:1204.1776

[KP] Masaki Kashiwara and Euiyong Park, Affinizations and R-matrices for quiver Hecke algebras. arXiv:1505.03241

[KM] A. Kleshchev and R. Muth. Affine zigzag algebras and semicuspidal representations in affine type. arXiv:1511.05511

[KL] Mikhail Khovanov, Aaron D. Lauda, A diagrammatic approach to categorification of quantum groups I. *Represent. Theory* **13** (2009), 309–347. arXiv:0803.4121

[KL2] Mikhail Khovanov, Aaron D. Lauda, A diagrammatic approach to categorification of quantum groups II. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **363** (2011), no. 5, 2685–2700. arXiv:0804.2080

[LV] Aaron D. Lauda and Monica Vazirani. Crystals from categorified quantum groups. *Adv. Math.* **228** (2011), no. 2, 803–861. arXiv:0909.1810

[M] Peter. J. McNamara, Representations of Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier Algebras III: Symmetric Affine Type. arXiv:1407.7304

[R] Raphael Rouquier, 2-Kac-Moody algebras. arXiv:0812.5023

[TW] Peter Tingley and Ben Webster, Mirkovic-Vilonen polytopes and Khovanov-Lauda-Rouquier algebras. To appear in *Compositio Mathematica*. arXiv:1210.6921

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS, UNIVERSITY OF QUEENSLAND, ST LUCIA, QLD, AUSTRALIA.
E-mail address: p.mcnamara@uq.edu.au

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, LOYOLA UNIVERSITY, CHICAGO, IL, USA.
E-mail address: ptingley@luc.edu